



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 06/24/98 09/104,063

EXAMINER HM12/0922 ULM, J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO CA 94080-4990 1646

DATE MAILED:

09/22/99

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

GENENTECH INC

1 DNA WAY

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), da Fallure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C.	tys from the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
	n's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Patent Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	. 7
1. 2 Claims 20 1 7 3 3	are pending In the application.
Of the above, claims	_ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	have been cancelled.
3. Claims	are allowed.
4. Claims 20 to 33	are rejected.
5. Claims	are objected to.
6. Claims are subject to res	striction or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for	examination purposes.
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Reviews).	r 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings ew, PTO-948).
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) be examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	een □approved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has beenapproved;disapproved;	roved (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been filled in parent application, serial no; filled on	been received not been received
13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	n as to the merits is closed in
14. Other	

Art Unit: 1646

1) Claims 20 to 33 are pending in the instant application. Claims 1 to 19 have been canceled and claims 20 to 33 have been added as requested by Applicant in Paper Number 2, filed 24 June of 1998.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 2) Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. These claims encompass nucleic acids as they occur in nature.
- Claims 1 to 31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,892,017.

 Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,571,702. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the pending claims are generic to the patented claims and encompass the patented subject matter in its entirety.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Art Unit: 1646

Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Ulm whose telephone number is (703) 308-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lila Feisee can be reached at (703) 308-2731.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800